Incidence and detection of high microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer in a Chinese population: a meta-analysis
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Background: The 4 most common types of DNA mutations in tumors are single-nucleotide variations, insertion-deletion, fusion, and copy number variations. This is followed by microsatellite instability (MSI), which is known to trigger the development of MSI-high (MSI-H) cancer and is responsible for 300,000 new cases of cancer per year in China. We aim to conduct a meta-analysis based on a comparison between the positive rates of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) panel (also known as 2B3D NCI panel) and mononucleotide panels for the diagnosis of MSI in the Chinese population.

Methods: In the present meta-analysis, we searched the PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, CNKI, Wanfang, CQVIP, and CBM databases. MSI diagnosis studies by PCR and capillary electrophoresis were included to compare the incidence of MSI-H in colorectal cancer obtained from panels with different microsatellite markers. Egger's bias test was used to assess risk of bias.

Results: Seventeen articles were included, which used the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) scale for quality evaluation. The NOS scores of the included documents were ≥7 points, and the quality of the documents met the requirements. The incidence of MSI-H detected by the 2B3D NCI panel was 13.5% [95% confidence interval (CI): 10.8–16.4], \(I^2=52.321\%\), \(P=0.026\), n=10 studies including 2,681 participants, the incidence of MSI-H detected by the mononucleotide panels was 10.6% (95% CI: 7.1–14.7, \(I^2=81.147\%\), \(P=0.000\), n=7 studies including 3,249 participants). This indicates that, in the Chinese population, the 2B3D NCI panel can detect 27.4% more MSI-H cancers than the mononucleotide panels, 54.7% more MSI-H cancers than the panel of 6 mononucleotides, and its sensitivity is comparable to that of Promega.

Conclusions: The findings of the meta-analysis demonstrated that, using the 2B3D NCI panel for MSI detection can avoid the underestimation of the incidence MSI-H in colorectal cancer and can be considered the most suitable panel for MSI detection in the Chinese population. The inclusion of only published data might be a potential source of publication bias.
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**Introduction**

The 4 most common types of DNA mutations in tumors are single-nucleotide variations, insertion-deletion, fusion, and copy number variations, which are relatively simple mutations that typically cause abnormalities in the function of 1–2 genes and signal transductions in their pathways. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is the 5th most common mutation in tumors and is defined as an alteration of any length due to either the insertion or deletion of repeat units, named “microsatellites”, within a tumor tissue when compared to normal tissue (1). MSI is a hypermutable phenotype caused by the loss of function of the DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system, which is responsible for repairing DNA mismatch errors that occur during DNA replication. MSI is a more complex mutation. As microsatellites are distributed throughout the entire genome, MSI in different genes will result in the dysfunction of these genes and will affect multiple signaling pathways associated with cancer, leading to the occurrence and progression of MSI-high (MSI-H) tumors.

MSI occurs in various types of cancer, including colorectal, endometrial, gastric, small bowel, ovarian, and prostate cancer. The incidence of MSI-H varies among different cancers, and has been found to be highest in endometrial, gastric, and colorectal cancers (33%, 22%, and 13%, respectively) (2). It also varies among different populations in the same type of cancer; for example, for colorectal cancer, the incidence of MSI-H is highest among Egyptians (37%) and African Americans (20–45%), but lowest among Europeans and Americans (8–20%) (3).

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common cancers in the Chinese population, the instance rate and the mortality rate of colorectal cancer keep increasing (4). MSI diagnosis plays a pivotal role in the precision diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer and other solid tumors, as reflected principally in the following four aspects: (I) Universal MSI/MMR testing is recommended in all diagnosed patients with colorectal cancer for Lynch syndrome screening; (II) MSI-H tumors have better prognosis than microsatellite stable (MSS) tumors; (III) colorectal cancer patients with MSI-H at stage II cannot benefit from 5-flurouracil chemotherapy; and (IV) MSI-H or deficient MMR (dMMR) tumors have been shown to be effective in immunotherapy in multiple solid tumors. Therefore, MSI detection is critical for solid tumors, especially in colorectal cancer.

Currently, MSI diagnostic approaches used for human tumors mainly include the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based method and next-generation sequencing. Multiplex fluorescence PCR followed by capillary electrophoresis fragment analysis is considered as the international gold standard approach for identifying MSI status in cancer, because of its high-throughput, higher sensitivity and reproducibility. The most critical factor influencing the sensitivity of MSI diagnosis is the loci of the microsatellite markers used. Different MSI diagnostic panels have been proposed for the sensitive detection of MSI, including the 2B3D NCI panel, which has been the gold standard panel for MSI diagnosis since 1997. The NCI panel consists of 2 mononucleotide repeat markers (BAT-25 and BAT-26) and 3 dinucleotide repeat markers (D2S123, D5S346, and D17S250). The classification criteria for MSI status are as follows. When compared to normal tissue, tumors with ≥2 unstable microsatellite markers are defined as MSI-H, those with only 1 unstable microsatellite marker are defined as MSI-low(MSI-L), and those with all 5 stable microsatellite markers are defined as MSS (1). According to previously published studies on Caucasians, the sensitivity of dinucleotide loci showed lower sensitivity than mononucleotide loci; therefore, panels composed of mononucleotides are also widely used in MSI diagnosis, such as the pentaplex panel of 5 mononucleotides (BAT-25, BAT-26, NR-21, NR-24, and MONO-27), which is commercially available from manufacturers, such as Promega (Madison, WI, USA).

However, a comparison of positive rates between the 2B3D NCI panel and mononucleotide panels is lacking for the Chinese or East Asian population. No consensus has been reached on the selection of MSI diagnostic panels in Chinese population due to lack of data support. In the present study, we used the PCR-based approach to analyze different panels for the diagnosis of MSI in the East Asian population, and conducted a meta-analysis based on a comparison between the positive rate of the 2B3D and mononucleotide panels, and tried to find out a panel with better sensitivity in Chinese population in order to provide a clearer guidance for panel selection.

We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jgo-20-487).

**Methods**

**Literature inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The inclusion criteria for the present study were as follows: (I) the study type was a cohort study; (II) a definite diagnosis of colorectal cancer; and (III) articles published in Chinese
or English. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (I) duplicate publications; (II) review articles, animal experiments, and case reports; (III) studies without full text, studies with incomplete information, or studies where data extraction was not possible; (IV) studies without available incidence of MSI-H; (V) articles without using PCR and capillary electrophoresis; (VI) articles published previous to 2000.

Search strategy

In the present systematic review and meta-analysis, we searched the PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, CNKI, Wanfang, CQVIP, and CBM databases. The search terms were as follows: “colorectal neoplasms”, “colorectal cancer”, “microsatellite instability”, “MSI”, “Chinese”, and “East Asian”.

The search strategy was as follows: (((((((“colorectal neoplasms”[MeSH]) or (colorectal neoplasm[title/abstract])) OR (neoplasm, colorectal[title/abstract])) OR (colorectal carcinoma[title/abstract])) OR (colorectal carcinomas[title/abstract])) OR (colorectal cancer[title/abstract])) OR (colorectal cancers[title/abstract])) OR (colorectal tumors[title/abstract])) OR (colorectal tumor[title/abstract])) AND (((microsatellite instability[title/abstract]) OR (MSI[title/abstract])) AND ((Chinese[title/abstract]) OR (East Asian[title/abstract]))).

Literature screening and data extraction

The literature search, screening, and information extraction were completed by 2 researchers independently. If consensus could not be reached, a 3rd researcher was consulted. Data extraction included author, year, type of study, sample size, and clinical indicators.

Literature quality assessment

Two researchers independently used Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) to evaluate the quality of the literature. If consensus could not be reached, a 3rd researcher was consulted. NOS includes 4 items (4 points) for “selection of research participants”; 1 item (2 points) for “comparability between groups” and 3 items (3 points) for “result measurement”, with a total score of 9 points and ≥7 points high-quality literature, <7 is divided into lower-quality literature.

Statistical analysis

Stata 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) was used for the single-group rate analysis on the data. I² was used to evaluate heterogeneity. If the heterogeneity test showed P≥0.1 and I²≤50%, this indicated that the study had homogeneity. The fixed-effects model was used for the combined analysis. If P<0.1 and I²>50%, this indicated that the study had heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis or subgroup analysis was used to find the source of heterogeneity, the sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding the literature one by one. If the heterogeneity was still significant, the random-effects model was used or descriptive analysis. When the number of documents included in a single outcome index was >10, the publication bias of each index was analyzed using a funnel chart and Egger’s bias test.

Results

Literature search results

In total, 1,832 articles were obtained by searching the PubMed, Embase, Web of Science databases, CNKI, Wanfang, CQVIP, and CBM databases. After excluding duplicate articles, 926 articles remained. Article abstracts were browsed and a further 574 articles were included. After reading the full text, we obtained a further 17 articles that could be used in meta-analysis (Figure 1) (Table 1).

Baseline characteristics and quality assessment of the included studies

The included 17 articles used the NOS scale for quality evaluation. The NOS scores of the included documents were ≥7 points, and the quality of the documents met the requirements (Table 2).

Results of meta-analysis

The incidence of MSI-H detected by the 2B3D NCI panel was 13.5% [95% confidence interval (CI): 10.8–16.4, I²=52.321%, P=0.026, n=10 studies including 2,681 participants], the incidence of MSI-H detected by Promega was 12.9% (95% CI: 8.3–18.3, I²=60.891%, P=0.053, n=4 studies including 640 participants), the incidence of MSI-H detected by 6 mononucleotide markers was 7.7% (95% CI: 4.7–11.2, I²=61.685%, P=0.074, n=4 studies including 2,609 participants), the incidence of MSI-H detected by mononucleotide panels was 10.6% (95% CI: 7.1–14.7, I²=81.147%, P=0.000, n=7 studies including 3,249 participants) (Figures 2-5).
Publication bias

As shown in Figure 6, based on the results of the Egger’s bias test (P=0.274, >0.05), there was no obvious publication bias in the present study, and the funnel chart was symmetrical.

Sensitivity analysis

No studies that had a significant impact on the results were found, suggesting that the results of the present study were relatively stable.

Discussion

There were approximately 4,292,000 new cancer cases in China in 2015. Based on the incidence of MSI-H in different types of cancer, we estimate that 300,000 new MSI-H cancer cases per year in the Chinese population; this value is comparable to breast cancer [272,400] and colorectal cancer [376,300] (2,4). Despite the severe burden of MSI-H cancer, its role in clinical diagnosis and treatment has been underreported.

The reasons affecting MSI-H incidence vary. First, MSI-H incidence among populations differs; for example, in colorectal cancer, the incidence of MSI-H is highest among Egyptians (37%) and African Americans (20–45%), but lowest among Europeans and Americans (8–20%) (3). In comparison, MSI-H incidence in Chinese patients is about 4.5–15%. Second, MSI-H incidence varies among different stages. MSI-H is more frequent in stage II colorectal cancer (20%) than stage III (12%), and is rare in stage IV (4%) (3).

Third, the incidence obtained from different methods or panels composed with different microsatellite markers. The
### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Research type</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex (male/female)</th>
<th>Panel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li et al., 2006 (5)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin et al., 2007 (6)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>64.0 (46.0–94.0)</td>
<td>68/42</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al., 2007 (7)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>60.5 (13.0–94.0)</td>
<td>66/39</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin et al., 2010 (8)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>53.2 (23.0–85.0)</td>
<td>73/77</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meng et al., 2010 (9)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>54.6 (24.0–85.0)</td>
<td>62/66</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peng et al., 2015 (10)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>44/31</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al., 2015 (11)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan et al., 2016 (12)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al., 2018 (13)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>139/106</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai et al., 2019 (14)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>58.0 (18.0–84.0)</td>
<td>111/160</td>
<td>2B3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al., 2018 (13)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>139/106</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai et al., 2019 (14)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al., 2019 (15)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>59.0 (19.0–92.0)</td>
<td>118/95</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al., 2010 (16)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>184/114</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al., 2018 (17)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>153/87</td>
<td>6 mononucleotide markers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 2019 (18)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24/16</td>
<td>6 mononucleotide markers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al., 2020 (19)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>2,356</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,437/919</td>
<td>6 mononucleotide markers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A, not available.

### Table 2 Quality assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Comparability</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li et al., 2006 (5)</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin et al., 2007 (6)</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al., 2007 (7)</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin et al., 2010 (8)</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meng et al., 2010 (9)</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peng et al., 2015 (10)</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al., 2015 (11)</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan et al., 2016 (12)</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al., 2018 (13)</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai et al., 2019 (14)</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al., 2019 (15)</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al., 2010 (16)</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al., 2018 (17)</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 2019 (18)</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al., 2020 (19)</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆</td>
<td>☆☆☆</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2 Forest plot of the incidence of microsatellite instability-high, detected by the 2B3D National Cancer Institute panel.

Figure 3 Forest plot of the incidence of microsatellite instability-high, detected by Promega.

PCR-based method, recognized as the gold standard for MSI detection, has higher sensitivity and specificity than other methods. However, the incidence obtained from panels using different microsatellite markers would also be different. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to compare the incidence of MSI-H in colorectal cancer obtained from panels with different microsatellite markers. The incidence of MSI-H detected by the 2B3D NCI panel in the present study was 13.5% (95% CI: 10.8–16.4, I²=52.321%, P=0.026, n=10 studies including 2,681 participants), the incidence of MSI-H detected by mononucleotide panels was 10.6% (95% CI: 7.1–14.7, I²=81.147%, P=0.000, n=7 studies including 3,249 participants). Therefore, in the Chinese population, the
The incidence obtained from different combinations of microsatellite markers differs greatly, as each microsatellite marker has a different sensitivity. For example, the BAT-25 and BAT-26 mononucleotide markers are considered as the most sensitive markers in MSI detection, whereas the sensitivity of NR-24 is lower than the former 2 markers, and D2S123 is the most sensitive dinucleotide marker. In addition, an increase in the number of microsatellite markers does not necessarily increase the sensitivity and accuracy of MSI detection. If microsatellite markers with lower sensitivities are included in the combination, the overall sensitivity of the MSI detection panel will decrease significantly (14).

In Caucasians, mononucleotide markers have been found to be more sensitive and specific than dinucleotide markers for MSI detection when identifying hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) (20). However,
in the Chinese population, we obtained the opposite result. The potential reason might be the instability of the 3 dinucleotide markers is an earlier event in tumorigenesis in the Chinese population than that of the mononucleotides markers other than BAT-25 and BAT-26. Currently, the detection of MSI is no longer limited to HNPCC/Lynch syndrome screening. With recent advances in immune checkpoint inhibitors, the value of MSI detection has focused more on predicting responsiveness of the PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4 inhibitors in solid cancers. Therefore, in the present study, only sporadic colorectal cancers were included in the meta-analysis. Nash et al. demonstrated that, in HNPCC, the sensitivities of the BAT-25 and BAT-26 mononucleotides were high, whereas they were lower in sporadic colorectal cancers. On the contrary, the sensitivity of dinucleotide markers was higher in sporadic colorectal cancers than in HNPCC (21). Yang et al. confirmed this result in a Chinese population (7), which could also explain the higher sensitivity of dinucleotide markers compared with mononucleotide markers in the present study.

A number of studies have suggested that the occurrence of MSI-H varies cross different populations (3); therefore, microsatellite marker selection needs to be supported by the clinical data from Chinese population.

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines and Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology guidelines for colorectal cancers recommend using the PCR-based method for MSI detection, and the latter explicitly recommends the 2B3D NCI panel for determining MSI status. In a study of 245 East Asian patients with colorectal cancer, the 2B3D NCI panel was also compared with Promega, with the 2B3D NCI panel demonstrating higher sensitivity (87.18%) compared with Promega (77.78%) (14). These 2 studies demonstrated that the 2B3D NCI panel could be the most suitable panel for MSI detection in an East Asian population (13,14).

The present study has some limitations. First, as it was a single-arm meta-analysis, we were not able to evaluate statistical significance. Second, the inclusion of only published studies might be a potential source of publication bias. Third, grey literature may have reported negative findings. Therefore, our results should be interpreted with caution.

The present meta-analysis demonstrated that the 2B3D NCI panel can detect 27.4% more MSI-H cancers than mononucleotide panels in the Chinese population. Therefore, using the 2B3D NCI panel for MSI detection can avoid the underestimation of the incidence of colorectal cancer and can be considered the most suitable panel for MSI detection in the Chinese population. Future studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm this result.
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