Introduction

Pancreatic adenocarcinoma is the 12th most commonly diagnosed cancer in the United States but it disproportionately represents the 5th most common cause of cancer-related death (1). Unlike many other cancer types, a rise in incidence and mortality rates from pancreatic adenocarcinoma have been noted (2). In fact, it was projected that by 2030, pancreatic cancers will surpass breast, colorectal and prostate cancers to become one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths, and therefore incurring a significant public health burden (3). A majority of cases present as either locally advanced or metastatic disease, where the disease is invariably fatal (4). Key oncogenic pathways such as RAS-MAPK, PI3KCA and TGF-beta signaling pathways have been identified in pancreatic cancers (5) and targeted in numerous drug trials although efforts to date have been unfruitful (6).

Germline mutations in BRCA1 (Breast Cancer 1, Early Onset) and BRCA2 (Breast Cancer 2, Early Onset) genes significantly increase the carrier’s lifetime risk for breast and ovarian cancers, and are defining features of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndromes (7). BRCA1/2-related ovarian and breast cancer represent a clinically significant subset, as characterized by specific phenotypic manifestations, such as triple negative or basal-like breast
cancers (8,9) and an increased risk for visceral involvement in ovarian cancers (10-12). More importantly, these correlations carry therapeutic relevance, as BRCA1/2-related breast and ovarian cancers demonstrate increased susceptibility to platinum-based chemotherapy and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibition (13-18). However, larger-scale studies soon recognized that BRCA1 and BRCA2 increase the risk of other cancer types as well. The link between prostate cancer and BRCA1/2 mutations has been established (19,20) and worse clinical outcomes have been suggested (21-23). Not unlike observations in ovarian and breast cancers, a recent phase II study has shown that these prostate cancers demonstrated heightened response to PARP inhibition (23).

A similar and parallel picture with pancreatic adenocarcinoma is emerging (19,20), BRCA-related pancreatic adenocarcinoma might exhibit unique biology and natural history. In fact, it might represent components of a subset of pancreatic adenocarcinoma defined by underlying molecular biology in defective homologous recombination mechanism, as seen in other disease types. The available data and evidence will be reviewed.

**BRCA1 and BRCA2—role in DNA damage response and repair**

The genome is subject to regular and frequent stressors, from both endogenous and environmental agents (24). Constant maintenance of genomic integrity is coordinated by multiple DNA damage response and repair mechanisms (24). Damage to DNA, especially in the form of double strand breakage may be lethal for cellular survival. This form of damage can be induced by cross-linking agents or ionizing radiation, amongst other causative factors. Various cytotoxic chemotherapies exert antineoplastic effect by targeting the DNA macromolecule. Platinum compounds such as cisplatin, carboplatin and oxaliplatin bind covalently to DNA to form DNA-adducts which impede cellular processes and ultimately lead to apoptosis (25). Other non-platinum alkylators function by similar mechanisms, such as mitomycin-C and temozolomide (26). On the other hand, agents such as irinotecan and other camptothecins inhibit DNA topoisomerase I which stabilizes the Topo-1-DNA cleavage complex and blocks the religation of DNA, leading to accumulation of single strand DNA breaks and damage (27).

Double strand breaks are repaired by either the homologous recombination or non-homologous end joining pathways. Homologous recombination is the repair mechanism of choice due to lower error rates (24). Cells with defective double strand repair shows a high degree of chromosomal instability, including chromosome breaks and radial chromosomes, which may lead to acquired mutations with consequential oncogenesis (28-30). The entire mechanism is tightly regulated, beginning with DNA damage sensing and response by checkpoint kinases ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and ataxia telangiectasia and rad3-related protein (ATR), which can lead to downstream activation of Fanconi Anemia complex. The complex is responsible for recruitment of other component proteins of the homologous recombinatio mechanism. BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes encode for proteins which play crucial role in homologous recombination pathway (31,32). Clinically significant mutations in these genes are frequently frameshift insertions or deletions which are translated into functionally impaired proteins (33) and thereby contributing to defective homologous recombination mechanism. The impaired mechanism leads to accumulation of mutations and chromosomal defects which increase the risk of carcinogenesis, and conversely, increased sensitivity to cross-linking properties of cytotoxic agents (34-36).

In the absence of effective double strand breakage repair, alternative DNA repair mechanisms are often recruited. PAR-1 is a nuclear protein which localizes to the site of DNA damage and contributes to the majority of PARP activity. PARP is a critical component of base excision repair pathway, an important pathway in repair of single strand breakage. Loss of PARP-1 increases the formation of DNA lesions that might be repaired by components of homologous recombination. In cells with loss of function of BRCA1/2, PARP inhibition further interrupts alternative DNA repair pathways, leading to accumulation of large numbers of chromatid aberrations and subsequent cell cycle arrest and cell death. This concept is commonly known as synthetic lethality (35). Clinically, the effect of synthetic lethality is more frequently observed in BRCA1/2-mutated cancers treated with PARP inhibitors (18,37,38).

Ultimately, the net result of dysfunctional DNA response and repair mechanisms is the accumulation of potentially deleterious mutation and consequential genomic instability (39). Besides tumorigenesis, genomic instability could also contribute to heterogeneity with diverse genetic alterations in different metastatic lesions, leading to heterogeneous response to therapy (40).
BRCA1/2 mutations in pancreatic adenocarcinoma—tip of the iceberg

The vast majority of pancreatic adenocarcinomas are sporadic in nature but emerging data from the last two decades have indicated that 5–15% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma have an inheritable component and are linked to inherited cancer susceptibility syndromes (20). Associated syndromes included hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome (20), Lynch syndrome, Peutz-Jahgers, familial atypical multiple mole melanoma syndrome and hereditary pancreatitis (41).

Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome with variants in its corresponding genes BRCA1 and BRCA2 are the most studied and important syndrome related to inherited pancreatic cancer. Some of these observations stem from large population-based studies on hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndromes. The massive Hereditary Breast Cancer Study enrolled over 5,000 female carriers of BRCA1/2 mutations, and over an average follow-up of 2 years, eight cases of pancreatic cancers were diagnosed. Albeit numerically low, the incidence was significantly higher than population average with a standardized incidence ratio of 2.55 for BRCA1 carriers and 2.13 for BRCA2 carriers (42). The Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium examined 3,728 women with germline BRCA2 mutation and observed 3.51 times increased risk for development of pancreatic cancer (43).

Studies of pancreatic cancer patients with related family histories observed an enrichment of related genetic variants as well. In one of the earliest studies, 29 patients with pancreatic cancer and highly significant family history from the National Familial Pancreatic Tumor Registry (NFPTTR) were subjected to germline DNA analysis for four putative tumor suppressor candidate genes. The cohort was significantly enriched with germline BRCA2 mutation in 17.2% of the patients while no germline mutations in the other three genes were observed (MP2K4, MADH4 and ACVR1B) (44). A similar study by Lucas and colleagues identified germline BRCA1/2 mutations in 21.9% of 32 patients with pancreatic cancer and 18.9% in patients without a cancer diagnosis currently enrolled in their high-risk pancreatic cancer prevention and genetics program (45). However, the majority of these early studies were significantly restricted by small sample size. In one of the largest published series to date, 175 patients with pancreatic cancer treated in Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) underwent clinical genetic counseling and germline DNA analysis based on suspicious personal or family history. Amongst the study cohort, 56.0% has Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry, 26.3% had personal history of prior malignancies and 30–50% had family history of malignancies in first degree relatives. In this study, a pathogenic mutation was identified in 15.1% of patients, which included 13 patients with BRCA2 mutation, four patients with BRCA1 mutations, p16 mutations in two further patients, PALB2 in one patient and four patients harbored germline mutations in mismatch repair genes (46). Rate of mutation detection for BRCA1/2 was significantly higher for patients with Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry (13.7%) compared to those with non-Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry (7.1%).

It is worth noting that these studies enrolled patients selected for strong personal or family history for pancreatic cancers or BRCA-related malignancies. In an unselected cohort of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma, only 11 of 306 patients (3.6%) were found to harbor pathogenic BRCA2 mutations and another 3 patients (1%) with BRCA1 mutations (47). A high rate of Ashkenazi ancestry was noted in the study cohort at 10.8%. When analyzed separately, BRCA1/2 mutations were observed in four of 13 (12.1%) Ashkenazi Jewish patients and in 10 of 273 (3.7%) non-Ashkenazi Jewish patients (P=0.05), which reflects the observation from the MSKCC series described previously.

Germline mutations in other members of homologous recombination mechanism

As seen in breast or ovarian cancers, inherited mutations in BRCA1/2 and other known genetic syndromes have not been able to entirely account for a significant majority of familial cancers. This would appear to be the case for pancreatic cancers as well. Albeit still a small body of literature, recent investigations have begun to implicate germline mutations in other components of homologous recombination as risk factors for pancreatic carcinogenesis. For example, in an unselected cohort of 96 pancreatic cancer patients from the Mayo Clinic Pancreatic Cancer patient registry, 14 pathogenic mutations in 13 patients were identified in 8 genes, namely ATM, BRCA2, checkpoint kinase 2 (CHEK2), MutS Homolog 6 (MSH6), BRCA1-Associated RING Domain 1 (BARD1), BRCA1, Fanconi Anemia, Complementation Group M (FANCM) and Nibrin (NBN), majority of which are component of the homologous recombination pathway (48). When 638 patients with familial pancreatic cancer without known
Germline mutations were subjected to whole genome sequencing, truncating mutations in a wide range of DNA damage repair genes were identified at low frequencies, including ATM, Polymerase (DNA Directed) Nu (POLN), Polymerase (DNA Directed) Theta (POLQ), Fanconi Anemia, Complementation Group C (FANCC), FANCM, et cetera (49).

Other groups adopted a more targeted approach, evaluating the prevalence of selected mutations. The Ontario Pancreas Cancer Study was designed to investigate the prevalence of germline mutations in a panel of genes—including ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, APC, CDKN2A and various mismatch repair genes. Amongst 290 probands, 11 pathogenic mutations were identified, of which 3 were alterations in the ATM gene (50). In another study of the Mayo Clinic Pancreatic Cancer Cohort, four pathogenic mutations in ATM were noted out of 14 pathogenic mutations in 13/96 patients (48), while another regional pancreatic database observed four mutations in ATM out of 11 mutations seen in 10/70 patients (51), therefore conferring a prevalence of 1.0–5.7% amongst high risk patients with pancreatic cancers.

Germline PALB2 mutation has also been reported in various series. Zhen and colleagues observed deleterious mutation in PALB2 gene in 0.6% of patients with familial pancreatic cancer (52). In a large series which included 132 non-BRCA1/2 breast and ovarian cancer families with at least one confirmed case of pancreatic cancer, prevalence of germline PALB2 mutation was estimated to be approximately 1.5% (53). However, in a Dutch series of 28 non-BRCA1/2 familial pancreatic cancer families and 28 non-BRCA1/2 familial breast cancer families with at least one confirmed case of pancreatic cancer, no PALB2 mutations were detected at all (54). In a large Italian series of non-BRCA1/2 familial breast cancer families, frequency of germline PALB2 mutation was estimated to be 2.1%. However, in 39 cases with confirmed family history of pancreatic cancer, three cases of PALB2 mutation was detected, suggesting that families with both familial breast and pancreatic cancers might be enriched with PALB2 mutations in the absence of deleterious or variant germline BRCA1/2 mutations (55).

van der Heijden and colleagues observed mutations in FANCC and FANCG genes in a small subset of patients with early onset pancreatic adenocarcinoma (56). In a large screening study of 421 patients with pancreatic cancers, two truncating mutations in FANCC were observed but none were observed for FANCG although they were not associated with family history (57). Rogers and colleagues examined genomic DNA from 38 patients with familial pancreatic cancer for mutations in FANCC and FANCG genes. Several polymorphisms of indeterminate functional significance were reported. The authors observed that these genes did not appear to contribute to the clustering of pancreatic cancers seen in the setting in familial pancreatic cancer, and concluded that these genes were uncommon causes of inherited pancreatic cancers (58).

These efforts collectively indicate that familial pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients harbor germline mutations in other components of DNA damage response or homologous recombination mechanism. However, prevalence of individual mutations is low, comparable to observations in other cancer types (59–61). The low prevalence of these modifications and the relative rarity of pancreatic adenocarcinoma also render it challenging to delineate the penetrance strength of these mutations or their absolute risk in pancreatic carcinogenesis (with the exception of Roger and colleagues’ effort on FANCC and FANCG).

Beyond the germline—somatic mutations in BRCA1/2 and other homologous recombination genes

Somatic mutations in BRCA1/2 appear to be rare events in sporadic breast cancers (62,63), which is more frequently characterized by epigenetic silencing of BRCA1/2 (64). Conversely, somatic mutations in BRCA1/2 account for at least one third of overall BRCA1/2 mutations in ovarian cancer (65,66). An integrative genomic analysis in metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer observed BRCA2 alterations in 12.5% of cases although pathogenic germline BRCA2 mutations were only observed in 5.3% of cases (67). This has not been extensively investigated in pancreatic cancer until recently due to advancement in sequencing technology and bioinformatics capability to work with very limited and small amount of genetic material typical of pancreatic cancer biopsies.

One of the earliest reports was the IMPaCT trial by the Australasian Gastrointestinal Trials Group (AGITG) which was designed as a phase II trial of gemcitabine versus tailored therapies based on actionable mutations from a limited panel of genes which included BRCA1, BRCA2, PALB2 and ATM. Of the 76 patients who completed molecular evaluation, two mutations in BRCA2 and one in ATM were detected, translating into a rate of 3.9% (68). Whole exome sequencing was performed on 99 cases of tumor tissue from early stage (stages I and II) sporadic...
pancreatic adenocarcinoma and five mutations in ATM were detected (5.1%) but none in BRCA1/2 or other Fanconi Anemia related genes (69).

Whole genome sequencing was performed via the Australian Pancreatic Cancer Genome Initiative and four subtypes of pancreatic cancers were recognized. In the unstable subtype which constitutes 14% of all samples, a large number of structural variation events were noted and therefore suggestive of genomic instability. Of the 14 patients in this subgroup, germline mutations in either BRCA2 or PALB2 were detected in 6 patients while somatic mutations in BRCA1/2 were noted in 5 other patients. Mutations in other DNA repair genes including RPA1, REV3L, ATM, FANCM, XRCC4 and XRCC6 were also noted across the entire cohort (70).

In a separate study of 109 micro-dissected pancreatic cancers, alterations in Fanconi Anemia genes or ATM, CHEK2, BCLAF1, BRCA1 and BRCA2 were noted in over 35% of cases (71).

Overall, the rate of somatic mutations in BRCA1/2 and related genes could range from 3.9–35% across different studies performed on different sequencing platforms. No studies to date have examined the rate of epigenetic silencing of BRCA1/2 or related genes in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. The as-yet unpublished Cancer Genome Atlas dataset includes methylation analysis and may provide a more comprehensive analysis.

**Mutations in BRCA1/2 and other homologous recombination component genes as prognostic marker**

Germline mutations in both BRCA1 and BRCA2 appear to confer differential survival advantage across different disease types. Large meta-analyses have suggested that BRCA1/2 carriers with ovarian cancers have better long-term outcomes compared to non-carriers (72,73) However, breast cancer patients with BRCA1 mutation appear to have shorter disease-related long-term survival while BRCA2 appeared to confer no influence on survival (72,74) Similar data for pancreatic adenocarcinoma remain limited due to relatively lower incidence of pancreatic cancer and lower frequency of related mutations.

In Witkiewicz and colleagues’ analysis of survival based on altered pathway alterations, defective homologous recombination was associated with a trend towards worse prognosis (71). In a series of 71 patients with germline BRCA1/2 mutations, 15 patients with stage I/II disease had a overall survival rate of 52% at 5-year, which is superior to historical control although the small sample size precluded the ability for any meaningful control (75). A follow-up multicenter case-controlled study by the same authors, however, did not identify improved survival. Median overall survival for twenty patients with BRCA-mutated pancreatic cancer and 40 matched wild type control were 23.8 and 25.9 months, respectively, with no statistically significant differences (76).

Non-BRCA1/2 components of homologous recombination mechanism might exert prognostic influence as well. A large multi-institutional evaluation of 396 surgically resected pancreatic adenocarcinomas identified biallelic loss of ATM in 12.8% of cases, which was associated with worse overall survival (77). In a study of 119 patients, single nucleotide polymorphisms in ATM, ATR, CHEK1 and CHEK2 demonstrated significant combined genotypic effect on survival, with more polymorphisms present per patient correlating with shorter overall survival (78). Various separate analyses from investigators in MD Anderson Cancer Center also identified similar observations—presence of single nucleotide polymorphisms in POLB, OGG1, APEX1 and XRCC1 were cumulatively adverse to overall survival (79-81).

**Chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma**

Systemic therapy for advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma has predominantly been gemcitabine-based regimens, since the demonstration of its superiority over 5-fluorouracil (82). Attempts at pairing gemcitabine to various agents, including cisplatin or oxaliplatin, have not been able to improve overall survival in large phase III trials conducted in unselected patients. The French PRODIGE intergroup in 2011 was able to demonstrate significant survival and clinical benefit from FOLFIRINOX in comparison to gemcitabine. With a total of 342 patients randomized, the study was able to show significant superior median progression-free and overall survival in the FOLFIRINOX arm (6.4 and 11.1 months) compared to patients who were treated with gemcitabine (3.3 and 6.8 months) (83). The Metastatic Pancreatic AdenoCarcinoma Trial (MPACT) study randomized 861 patients with metastatic disease and a Karnofsky performance score (KPS) of 70–100% to single-agent gemcitabine or gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel (84). Median progression-free survival was superior in the
Can homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) is used as a predictive marker of chemotherapy response?

In a panel of patient-derived pancreatic cancer xenografts, BRCA-mutant xenografts were significantly more sensitive to cisplatin than BRCA-wild type xenografts (85). Clinically, considerable amount of evidence have been demonstrated in ovarian cancer (15,86,87) and breast cancer (13,14,88).

For pancreatic cancer, early indications that patients harboring BRCA1/2 mutations might respond differentially to platinum agents were published as individual case reports (89-92). Sonnenblick and colleagues reported the case of a 60-year-old carrier of a rare BRCA2 germline mutation. The patient was treated initially with gemcitabine with progressive disease as best response. Cisplatin was added to gemcitabine, which led to a dramatic complete response radiographic and biochemically (89). Chalasani and colleagues reported the case of a 49-year-old woman with germline BRCA2 mutation and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma who achieved substantial partial response with third line chemotherapy with capcitabine and mitomycin C, a cross-linking agent with identical mode of action as cisplatin (90). Another case reported a 71-year-old BRCA2 carrier with dual diagnosis of prostate and pancreatic adenocarcinomas, who achieved disease control with second-line irinotecan for over 27 cycles of treatment (91).

In a small series of ten patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma and germline BRCA2 mutation (93), six patients received platinum-based combination chemotherapy and achieved a mean duration of response of 4.8 months, ranging from 2 to 8 months. For the seven patients who received a topoisomerase-I inhibitors alone or in combination with other agents, mean duration of response of 8.3 months were observed, while the two patients who were exposed to mitomycin-C responded for 2.3 and 3 months, respectively. Although the sample size was small and patients were highly selective, these data suggested that superior disease control might be attainable in patients with BRCA1/2 mutations. In another small series of 15 patients, 6 patients received platinum-based chemotherapy as first-line therapy, five of those experienced partial radiographic response, including one complete response with FOLFIRINOX (19). Golan and colleagues reported superior overall survival in platinum-treated patients with either stage III disease (48 vs. 10 months) or stage IV disease (15 vs. 7 months) (75).

Homologous recombination defect as a molecular target with PARP inhibitors

The concept of synthetic lethality (35) has led to effective use of PARP inhibitors in other BRCA-related cancers (18,37,38). In pancreatic cancer, pre-clinical studies have reaffirmed comparable observations. In pancreatic cancer xenografts, increased sensitivity to cisplatin but not gemcitabine was observed in BRCA1/2-mutated tumors (85). In murine models, the addition of PARP inhibitor to cisplatin could increase time to cancer and overall survival (94).

Early clinical data have been promising. In a small retrospective series of 15 patients with pancreatic cancer and germline BRCA mutations (4 with BRCA1 and 11 with BRCA2), two patients were treated with PARP inhibitors either alone or in combination with chemotherapy in the first line non-curative setting, and enjoyed partial response lasting 2 and 6 months, respectively. Of two patients who were treated with PARP inhibitors in the second line setting, one sustained stable disease for 6 months (19).

At present, several PARP inhibitors are in various stages of investigation in pancreatic adenocarcinoma, namely olaparib, veliparib and rucaparib.

In a large phase II study, patients with advanced solid tumor cancers and confirmed germline BRCA1/2 mutations were treated with single agent olaparib at 200 mg BID. For the 23 patients with gemcitabine-refractory metastatic pancreatic cancer, a response rate of 21.7% was observed while stable diseases of at least 8 weeks were observed in 34.8%. Median progression-free and overall survivals were 4.6 and 9.8 months, respectively (95). In a phase I study of gemcitabine and olaparib, the recommended phase 2 dose was determined to be gemcitabine 600 m/mg² and olaparib 100 mg BID. The study included a dose expansion cohort where patients with treatment-naive locally-advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer were randomized to olaparib plus gemcitabine at the maximum tolerated dose as determined or standard dose gemcitabine. The combination did not
Some clinical activity for single agent veliparib was also observed. In an early phase study, a total of 16 patients with confirmed BRCA1/2 or PALB2 mutation and pretreated pancreatic cancer were enrolled. Five had BRCA1 mutation while 11 had BRCA2 mutations. Only one partial response was noted which progressed at 6 months, while four patients achieved stable disease and ten had progressive disease as best response (97). Veliparib was also examined in combination with cisplatin and gemcitabine in a phase IB dose finding study (98). A total of 17 patients were enrolled, and the randomized phase II dose of veliparib was determined to be 80 mg PO BID days 1–12 combined with cisplatin 25 mg/m² and gemcitabine 600 mg/m² IV days 3 and 10, every 21 days. Nine patients had BRCA1/2

### Table 1 On-going clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ClinicalTrials identifier</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>Selected eligibility requirements</th>
<th>Primary endpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olaparib</td>
<td>NCT02677038</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>At least one prior chemotherapy in the metastatic setting</td>
<td>Germline BRCA1/2 negative. Previously identified genetic aberrations associated with HRD will be eligible, e.g., somatic BRCA mutation, FA gene or RAD51 mutations</td>
<td>Response rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olaparib vs. placebo</td>
<td>NCT02184195</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Non-progression after at least 12 weeks of platinum-based chemotherapy</td>
<td>Germline BRCA1/2 mutation</td>
<td>Progression-free survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irinotecan, cisplatin, mitomycin-C ± olaparib</td>
<td>NCT01296763</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>First-line</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
<td>Dose finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cediranib + olaparib</td>
<td>NCT02498613</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>At least one prior chemotherapy in the metastatic setting</td>
<td>Mixed disease cohort, BRCA mutation excluded</td>
<td>Response rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rucaparib</td>
<td>NCT02042378</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>At least one but not more than two prior lines of chemotherapy</td>
<td>Germline or somatic BRCA1/2 mutation</td>
<td>Response rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olaparib</td>
<td>NCT02511223</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>At least one prior chemotherapy in the metastatic setting</td>
<td>Tested negative for germline BRCA1/2; previously identified loss of ATM by IHC or family history of BRCA-associated cancers; previously identified genetic aberrations associated with HRD will be eligible, e.g., somatic BRCA mutation, FA gene or RAD51 mutations</td>
<td>Response rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemcitabine + veliparib + IMRT</td>
<td>NCT01908478</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Unresectable disease</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
<td>Dose finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemcitabine + cisplatin ± veliparib</td>
<td>NCT01585805</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Part 1: first-line metastatic setting; Part 2: single agent in previously treated disease</td>
<td>Confirmed BRCA1/2 or PALB2 mutation</td>
<td>Response rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mFOLFOX6 + veliparib</td>
<td>NCT01489865</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>First-line</td>
<td>Confirmed BRCA1/2, PALB2 of FA gene mutations</td>
<td>Dose finding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMRT, intensity-modulated radiation therapy; HRD, homologous recombination deficiency; ATM, ataxia telangiectasia mutated.
mutation while the remaining patients were BRCA wild type and enrolled based on strong personal or family history of malignancy. No significant activity was noted in the latter group but for the BRCA-mutated subgroup, 6 out of 9 patients experienced partial response while the remaining patients had stable disease as best response. A randomized phase II of cisplatin, gemcitabine plus veliparib versus cisplatin and gemcitabine is currently recruiting (NCT01585805). Other on-going veliparib trials in pancreatic cancers include a phase I study of veliparib in combination with gemcitabine and intensity modulated radiation therapy in patients with locally-advanced disease (NCT01908478) and a phase I/II study of veliparib in combination with FOLFOX in metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma (NCT01489865).

Rucaparib is another PARP inhibitor in a much earlier stage of development. A phase II trial is currently on-going where patients with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma with known somatic or germline BRCA1/2 mutation and at least one prior line of systemic therapy were treated with Rucaparib (NCT02042378).

**Conclusions**

There is now ample evidence confirming that deleterious mutations in BRCA1/2 and other homologous recombination component genes occur in a sizeable minority of pancreatic adenocarcinomas. Tumors secondary to germline BRCA1/2 mutations appear to mirror those of breast, ovarian and prostate cancer in terms of gene penetrance, risk of tumorigenesis, and in particular, susceptibility to DNA-targeting cytotoxic agents. PARP inhibition, similarly, has generated early promising signals.

However, many questions still remain. As has been discussed above, the reported prevalence of germline mutations in other homologous recombination component genes is low and the deleterious effect of individual genes in carcinogenesis, prognosis and therapeutic implication has not been validated although observations in other disease types might suggest comparable efficacy to therapies (38).

Therefore, a subtype of pancreatic adenocarcinoma as characterized by defective homologous recombination exists, and it is emerging as a subset for which defined treatment options may exist. There is ample venue for further investigation either in the form of prospective studies or correlative evaluation from existing trials. We are cautiously optimistic that HR deficiency represents a marker of potential actionable for pancreatic adenocarcinoma.
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