The association between obesity factor and esophageal cancer
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Abstract: Accumulating epidemiological studies have supported the link between increased body mass index, central obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma. This association appears stronger than that for other types of obesity-related cancers. Central obesity or visceral fat, more often observed in men, is much more strongly associated with adenocarcinoma than body mass index alone, possibly contributing to the imbalance of disease occurrence between the sexes. Potential mechanisms underlying the association between obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma have been recently identified, including the insulin-like growth factor pathway, adipokines and sex hormone disturbances. These findings are summarized in this review; however, more research is warranted before these mechanisms are conclusively established.

Key Words: Esophageal adenocarcinoma; obesity; adipokine; insulin

Introduction

The incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma (EA) is rapidly increasing in many Western countries, carrying a poor prognosis and a strong male predominance. The disease has increased as high as 5-fold in the United States over the past three decades according to the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) registry data (1). There is still no consensus regarding the cause of the rise in EA incidence, though increasing gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, eradication of Helicobacter pylori infection (2,3), and obesity have all been suggested (4). Among these risk factors, obesity has received particular attention as a potential causal factor in the rapid rise in incidence of EA (4).

The increasing occurrence of EA might be explained by the increasing weight trends in Western society, but a careful review of the existing data is required before such conclusions can be drawn. Over the past two decades, there have been an increasing number of well designed epidemiological studies which have furthered understanding of the influence of obesity on the development of EA. Two meta-analyses have shown the risk of EA in overweight and obese individuals increased approximately 2- to 3-fold (5,6) and is higher in obese individuals than in those who are simply overweight (7), consistent with an exposure-response effect. Furthermore, obesity has been associated with symptoms of GERD and Barrett's esophagus (7-9). These findings, coupled with the high temporal correlation between obesity prevalence and EA incidence, have led to speculation that the “obesity epidemic” in the United States may be at least partially responsible for the increase in EA incidence (10,11).

This review provides an update on the role of obesity in the risk of developing esophageal adenocarcinoma. The correlation of obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma as well as the potential mechanisms underlying these effects are also discussed.

Obesity in general

Two surveys from US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey show the prevalence of obesity increased from 15% in 1976-1980 to 33.8% in 2007-2008 (12). Sixty-eight percent of US adults aged 20 years or older are overweight or obese, and 34% are obese currently (13). In Europe, it is estimated that 30-80% adults are currently overweight, and the World Health Organization (WHO) predicts the prevalence of obesity is expected to include 150 million adults and 15 million children by 2010 (14). In the Asian-Pacific area, Australia has the highest prevalence of overweight (31%) and obese adults (20%). In China the
prevalence of overweight and obese patients has increased by almost four fold during the last two decades.

Measure of obesity

Several measures are used to assess obesity. Body mass index (BMI), an individual's body weight (in kg) divided by the square of their height (in m), is an easily available measure often used for obesity assessment in epidemic studies. The WHO divides BMI into four categories: a BMI <18.5 represents an underweight state; a BMI between 18.5-24.9 represents normal weight; a BMI between 25.0-29.9 represents an overweight state, and a BMI ≥30 represents obesity. Other anthropometric measurements of obesity include waist circumference and waist:hip ratio, which better reflect the differential distribution of body fat than BMI alone. Use of radiologic techniques such as computerized tomography (CT) also allows the level of intra-abdominal fat to be assessed. Sophisticated technologies like dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry can provide an overall and regional assessment of body composition.

Esophageal cancer in general

Esophageal cancer represents the eighth most common cancer worldwide and the sixth most common cause of cancer death, with 462,000 new cases in 2002 (4.2% of the total) alone (15,16). The case fatality ratio is as high as 83%, much higher than that of other common malignancies like breast cancer (36%) or colorectal cancer (52%) (16). It is estimated that the incidence of the disease has increased more than five-fold in some countries over the last three decades (17). In worldwide, there are an estimated 482,300 new esophageal cancer cases and 406,800 deaths occurred in 2008 (18). In the US, an estimated 17,460 new esophageal cancer cases and 406,800 deaths occurred (19).

Adenocarcinoma now accounts for around 50% of all esophageal cancers in the West, while the incidence of squamous cell carcinomas (SCC), previously the most common histology in the West, has been decreasing by 3.6% per year from 1998 to 2002 across all ethnic groups in the US (19). The incidence of distal and junctional EA rose approximately six-fold from 4 cases per million in 1975 to 23 cases per million in 2001, strongly indicating a true increase in disease burden not explained by over-diagnosis or reclassification (17).

Esophageal adenocarcinoma and obesity

Obesity is reported to be associated with an increased risk of many malignancies, including tumors of the colon, kidney, liver, thyroid, gall bladder, pancreas and endometrium (20,21). The earliest reports about a possible association between obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma were published in the mid 1990s (22,23). These findings were corroborated in large population-based, case-control studies conducted in the US, Europe and Australia, which showed a strong correlation between increasing BMI and the risk of developing EA (4,24) and further supported by the findings of prospective cohort studies (Table 1).

In an 8 year follow up period, 371 cases of EA were identified in 480,475 participants in the National Institute for Health AARP Diet and Health study cohort and those with a BMI in the highest category (>35) were at increased risk (Relative risk, RR, 2.27; 95% Confidence interval, 95% CI: 1.44-3.59) (25). A prospective cohort study of 1.2 million women (50-64 years) during 1996-2001 showed that individuals with a BMI >30 were at increased risk (26). Samanic et al. examined the health records of 362,552 men for an average of 19 years and showed that there's a significantly increased risk of EA in Obese (BMI >30) compared to normal weight men (27).

In another study of 4552 subjects over 13.3 years, Merry et al. found the RR of EA to be 1.4 (95% CI: 0.95-2.04, P<0.001) for overweight subjects and 3.96 (95% CI: 2.27-6.88, P<0.001) for obese subjects, respectively (28). In the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition, 346,544 adults were followed for 8.9 years. BMI, waist-hip ratio and waist circumference were all positively associated with EA (RR 2.60, 95% CI: 1.23-5.51, P<0.01; RR, 2.12; 95% CI: 0.98-4.57, P<0.004 and RR, 3.07; 95% CI: 1.35-6.98, P<0.003, respectively) (29). The MacInnis et al. examined the health records of 362,552 men for an average of 19 years, with detailed body composition information from bioelectrical impedance analysis performed at baseline. They found the hazard ratio (HR) of adenocarcinoma of the lower esophagus for individuals with a BMI >30 versus a BMI <25 was 3.7 (95% CI: 1.1-12.4, P<0.03). What's more, for every 10 cm increase in waist circumference the HR was 1.46 (95% CI: 1.0-2.04) and for every 10 kg increase in fat free mass the HR was 2.06 (95% CI: 1.15-3.69) (29).

Another prospective study which measured the height and weight of approximately 2 million Norwegians showed that, compared with persons of normal weight (BMI 18.5-24.9), men and women who were overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9) had a relative risk (RR) of 1.8 (95% CI 1.48-2.19) and 1.6 (95% CI 1.08-2.49) of developing esophageal adenocarcinoma, respectively. The corresponding relative risks in men and women who were obese (BMI ≥30) were 2.6 (95% CI 1.8-3.7) and 2.1 (95% CI 1.3-3.4), respectively (30).

In a population-based case-control study, Whitman's group compared 367 cases of EA, 426 cases of
Gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma and 1,580 controls. Morbidly obese individuals (BMI >40) had a significantly increased risk of EA with odds ratio (OR) 6.1 (95% CI: 2.7-13.6, P<0.001). The authors reported the risk was significantly higher for males than females, and for obese people with reflux (OR 16.5, 95% CI: 8.9-30.6) than obese people without reflux (OR 2.2, 95% CI: 1.1-4.3), suggesting a synergistic interaction between these factors (4). Ryan and colleagues conducted a case-control study of 508 EA cases and 893 controls (31). A dose-dependent relationship between pre-illness BMI and EA was observed for males (OR 4.3, 95% CI 2.3-7.9, P<0.0001) in the highest BMI quartile versus the lowest. Especially for the lower esophagus, an OR of 11.3 (95% CI 3.5-36.4, P<0.001) was observed and for the gastroesophageal junction the OR was 3.4 (95% CI: 1.4-8.7, P<0.001).

**Mechanism**

It was proposed that an increased occurrence of GERD among individuals who are obese can lead to occurrence of Barrett’s esophagus and finally esophageal adenocarcinoma, a likely mechanism explaining the association between abdominal adiposity and esophageal adenocarcinoma (9). However, the associations between BMI or adiposity and this tumor were seemingly independent of the symptoms of GERD in virtually all studies with GERD data (4,24,32-34). These results indicate that obesity might have an independent carcinogenic role in occurrence of esophageal adenocarcinoma. Nevertheless, since the mechanisms underlying the association between obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma are not fully established, further research into the potential role of GERD in the carcinogenic pathway is warranted.

There are several molecular mechanisms that can contribute to the increased risk of cancer among obese individuals (21), but the reasons behind the robust and specific association between obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma still remain to be clarified. Research into the mechanisms underlying this association, however, is emerging.

The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) pathway, which is associated with obesity, plays an important role in regulating cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, and transformation. Laboratory studies have shown that IGFs exert strong mitogenic and antiapoptotic actions on various cancer cells. The IGF pathway has been shown to be associated with increased risk for several common cancers including breast (35), prostate (36), lung (37) and colorectum (38), and may represent a mechanistic link between obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma. Recent studies have shown that polymorphisms in genes encoding proteins belonging to the IGF family could be markers of increased risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma (29). In a case-control study of 431 well-characterized individuals in Canada, Kimberley Macdonald et al. analyzed the frequency of the 1013G>A polymorphism in the gene encoding the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
<th>Reference BMI</th>
<th>Cut-off BMI for overweight or obese states</th>
<th>Relative risk</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnct al. (25)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>371 480,475</td>
<td>18.5-&lt;25</td>
<td>&gt;35</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.44-3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reeves et al. (26)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>150 1,200,000</td>
<td>22.5-24.9</td>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.89-3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samanic et al. (27)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>82 362,552</td>
<td>&lt;24.9</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.33-5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merry et al. (28)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>293 4452</td>
<td>&lt;24.9</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>2.27-6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steffen et al. (28)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>198 346,554</td>
<td>&lt;20.5</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.4-5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macinnes et al. (54)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>30 41,295</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.1-12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engeland et al. (30)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>NR NR</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.8-3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al. (22)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>174 0750</td>
<td>&lt;23</td>
<td>&gt;27</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8-5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow et al. (24)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>292 694</td>
<td>&lt;22/23</td>
<td>&gt;27</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.8-4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagergren et al. (33)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>189 820</td>
<td>&lt;21/22</td>
<td>&gt;24/25</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.8-15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiteman et al. (4)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>367 1,580</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.7-13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veugelsers et al. (55)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>57 102</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>1.27-17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figueroa et al. (56)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>122 695</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>2.75-10.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan et al. (31)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>760 893</td>
<td>&lt;22</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.5-36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corley et al. (34)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>94 206,974</td>
<td>18.5-24.9</td>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.43-7.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IGF1 receptor in a series and showed that individuals who were obese and carried the 1013G>A variant had a higher risk of developing esophageal adenocarcinoma than those who carried the 1013G>G variant. Thus, this commonly occurring gene polymorphism might modulate the risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma in individuals who are obese, probably by altering the function of the IGF1 receptor (39).

The cytokines leptin and adiponectin secreted by adipocytes are other factors that might contribute to the link between obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma. Serum leptin levels rise with an increase in BMI (40). It has been shown that leptin stimulates proliferation and inhibits apoptosis in esophageal adenocarcinoma cells (40). In addition, this hormone can activate the epidermal growth factor receptor, an important signaling mechanism for activation of G protein-coupled receptors, and promote cell proliferation (40). Adiponectin is the most abundant protein secreted by adipose tissue and is known to be involved in various obesity-related disorders (41). The serum concentrations of adiponectin, unlike most of the other adipokines, are inversely correlated with BMI and most importantly, with visceral fat accumulation (42). A study of 75 patients with esophageal adenocarcinoma indicated that obesity was associated with up-regulated expression of the leptin receptor and the two adiponectin receptors in tumor specimens from these patients. The increase in the expression of two of these receptors (LEPR and ADIPOR2) was associated with advanced tumor stages, suggesting that pathways involving adipokines affect tumor biology (43).

In 1998, Lagergren et al. (44) hypothesized that sex hormones could be responsible for the sex imbalance occurrence of esophageal carcinoma. Epidemiological data for esophageal adenocarcinoma demonstrates a profound gender difference, with the male to female ratio exceeding 8:1, strongly supporting this hypothesis (45-47). Estrogen has also been shown to contribute to the regulation of body adiposity and fat distribution through ERs in the brain, decreasing insulin sensitivity and increasing leptin signaling pathways (48). 17β-estradiol increases leptin mRNA levels in adipose tissue (49), while estrogen deficiency impairs central leptin sensitivity (48,50). In women, fluctuations of leptin during the menstrual cycle correlate directly with levels of estrogen (49,51). Estrogen has also been found to influence leptin receptor expression and sensitivity of hypothalamus to leptin, driving subcutaneous body fat accrual over visceral fat during the estrous cycle in rats (52). Hence, visceral fat varies inversely with estrogen levels as seen visceral fat accumulate in postmenopausal women with sufficiently low circulating estrogen levels (43,50,53). The accumulation of visceral fat is associated with an increased risk of various gastrointestinal malignancies including esophageal adenocarcinoma (44). Thus, estrogen regulation of leptin levels in women may play a protective role, directing accumulation of subcutaneous fat preferentially over visceral fat. The situation for men, however, is less clear, although a high level of leptin is considered to be a risk factor for males to develop esophageal adenocarcinoma (8,44).

Conclusions

Large epidemiological studies have highlighted a marked increase in esophageal adenocarcinoma over the last 30 years, making this histologic subtype the most common esophageal cancer in the West (15). The factors underlying the increased incidence of EA are complicated. The link between increased BMI, central obesity and this cancer is supported by a large body of evidence. It is likely that the obesity epidemic explains, at least in part, the increasing incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma observed in Western countries over the past few decades (13). Moreover, the male predominance of this tumor might be partly explained by its strong association with body fat distribution typical to men.

It is becoming increasingly apparent that insulin resistance, disturbed adipokine homeostasis secondary to central adiposity, and sex hormones all lead to activation of carcinogenic molecular pathways and may explain the gender and ethnic differences seen in this cancer. There are still uncertainties regarding the role of obesity in the increased incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma. Much research remains to be carried out before the mechanisms that explain the strong link between obesity and esophageal adenocarcinoma are fully understood. The interactions between obesity and other environmental exposures including tobacco smoking, infection with Helicobacter pylori and dietary factors also deserve attention (9). Dietary and lifestyle modification aimed at avoidance of central obesity will likely provide the most benefit in the prevention of esophageal and other cancers.
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