Discovery of a novel three-long non-coding RNA signature for predicting the prognosis of patients with gastric cancer
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Background: Accumulating evidence demonstrates that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) play a predictive role in the prognosis of gastric cancer (GC). The present study aims to construct a lncRNA-based model via mining data of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA).

Methods: Differentially expressed lncRNAs were first identified, followed by univariate Cox analysis, Robust likelihood-based survival model and multivariate Cox analysis to construct a signature composed of lncRNAs.

Results: A three-lncRNA based predictive signature (OVAAL, FLJ16779, FAM230D) was established to stratify GC patients into high- and low-risk groups. Patients in the high-risk group had markedly shorter overall survival (OS) than those in the low-risk group, which was verified by the ROC curve. Then, we validated the predictive power of the scoring system in other two cohorts. Multivariate Cox analysis also indicated that the 3-lncRNA signature was an independent prognostic factor for survival prediction in GC patients. Moreover, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) revealed that diverse metabolic pathways significantly clustered in the low-risk group, which might explain how the 3-lncRNA signature promoted gastric carcinogenesis.

Conclusions: We established a robust three-lncRNA model to predict the OS of GC patients, which might benefit the clinical decision making for personalized treatment and prognostic prediction for GC patients.
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Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC), the fifth most common malignant tumor and the third leading cause of tumor related death, remains the public health burden globally. In 2018, there were more than 1,033,000 diagnosed cases and 782,000 GC-related mortalities worldwide (1). Despite diagnostic and therapeutic advances, the overall 5-year survival rate remains dismal. Therefore, it is urgently recommended to identify novel powerful biomarkers of prognosis prediction and treatment response in patients with GC.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) were regarded as RNA transcripts longer than 200 nt without the protein coding capability. Nowadays, lncRNAs have been considered to modulate gene expression at chromatin organizational, transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels (2,3), and thus take part in diverse tumorigenic processes including proliferation, anti-apoptosis and distant...
metastasis (4). Moreover, lncRNAs function as potential prognostic biomarkers and thus lncRNA-based signatures have been demonstrated in a wide range of malignancies via mining The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), or GEO dataset (5-8). Several lncRNA-based predictive signatures for GC patients without the cross validation or validation in other cohorts have also been reported (9-11). Nevertheless, it is still necessary to establish a lncRNA-based model with high robustness and reliability in predicting prognosis of GC patients.

We aimed to construct a predictive model of GC based on lncRNAs. RNA-Seq data of GC were obtained from TCGA portal (up to Mar 26, 2019), containing 375 GC and 32 adjacent paratumor tissues. To avoid confounding factors, only samples with follow-up time more than one month were incorporated in our study. Then, the remaining patients were randomly partitioned into the training and the testing set using the R “caret” package (Table 1). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). Since all data were extracted from publicly available database, an approval by the ethics committee and patient consent were not required. We complied with the TCGA publication guidelines and data access policies.

Preliminary screening of differentially expressed lncRNAs

We employed “edger” R package to screen out DElncRNAs between GC and paratumor samples. In addition to the threshold of log2|fold change| >1 and FDR <0.05, lncRNAs were also filtered as the following criteria: first, mean expression of each lncRNA in all samples >1; second, expression of each lncRNA in more than 50% samples >1. Third, the variance of expression level of each lncRNA was higher than 20% of the total expression variances of all lncRNAs.

Discovery and selection of prognosis related lncRNAs

The relationship between DElncRNAs and overall survival (OS) was first analyzed in the training set. The univariate Cox regression analysis of the screened DElncRNAs was performed using R “survival” package. Those with P value <0.05 were regarded as candidate lncRNAs.

To construct a lncRNA-related prognostic signature, the Robust likelihood-based survival model was performed using R “rbsurv” package as follows:

(I) We randomly partitioned patients into the training set with N×(1 − p) and the validation set with N×p, p = 1/3, fitted each lncRNA to the training set and obtained a parameter value, the log-likelihood of which was further confirmed in the validation set.

(II) We repeated the above procedure 10 times and obtained 10 log-likelihoods for each lncRNA. The
one with the highest log likelihood was considered as the best lncRNA. We searched the next best lncRNA by evaluating each two-lncRNA model and obtained the optimal one with highest mean log-likelihood.

(III) A large number of models emerged through the above procedure. We calculated Akaike information criterions (AICs) for all the candidate signatures and constructed the optimal one with the smallest AIC.

Construction and validation of the lncRNA-based predictive signature

Candidate lncRNAs among the optimal model were then incorporated into the stepwise Cox multivariate analysis in the training set. A prognostic signature was finally established by the multiplication of lncRNA expression (X) and regression coefficient (β). Then, each patient was scored in accordance with the following formula: Risk Score=X1β1+X2β2+...+Xnβn.

To confirm the predictive performance of the lncRNA-based model, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was constructed using the R “survivalROC” package, from which a best cutoff value with the maximal sensitivity and specificity was obtained. Using the optimal cutoff derived from the training set, patients from three cohorts were divided into the high- and low-risk group, respectively. Kaplan-Meier survival curve with log-rank test analysis was employed to compare OS between high- and low-risk groups.

lncRNA-based signature for prediction independent of other clinical features

The lncRNA-based model together with other clinical features including age, gender, TNM stage were referred to the univariate Cox regression model. Then, factors associated with OS were incorporated into the multivariate Cox regression model to determine whether the lncRNA-based signature was an independent prognostic indicator of OS.

Enrichment analysis of the three lncRNA-based signature

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) utilizes predefined gene sets to sort related genes in accordance with the extent of difference between the two types of samples, and then to evaluate whether gene sets are at the top or bottom of the sorting table. Herein, we utilized GSEA to screen out potential pathways between high- and low-risk group stratified by the best cutoff from the training set. Molecular signatures included in our study contains C2 curated gene set and C5 GO gene set. Enrichment score (ES) was obtained using permutation analysis 1000 times. P<0.01 was considered statistically different.

Results

Identification of prognosis related lncRNA

We performed our study as described in the flow chart (Figure 1). Using the R “edgeR” package, we identified a total of 1,165 DElncRNAs (|logFC| >1, FDR <0.05) between 375 GC and corresponding 32 non-tumor samples, including 904 up-regulated and 261 down-regulated DElncRNAs, which were subjected to the univariate Cox regression model in the training set, and finally only 202 prognoses related DElncRNAs were selected for further study. Moreover, the unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis of the top 20 DElncRNAs sorted by FC was performed and visualized by R “pheatmap” package (Figure S1).
Establishment of risk score system based on the 3-lncRNA signature

Robust likelihood-based survival analysis of 202 prognosis-related DElncRNAs were conducted using the R “rbsurv” package, followed by the Cox multivariate regression model. Thus, we identified a series of candidate lncRNAs with high frequency which were further incorporated into the stepwise Cox multivariate model, and a prognostic signature consisting of three lncRNAs (OVAAL, FLJ16779, FAM230D) was finally constructed, all of which were considered as risky factors. The risk score of each GC sample was computed in accordance with the 3-lncRNA risk formula. Additionally, the ROC curve of the training set indicated that the optimal cutoff was 0.805 (Figure 2A).

Figure 2 The predictive value of the 3-lncRNA signature among GC patients from the training set. (A) Time dependent ROC curve for accuracy of the predicting risk score system; (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curve of GC patients in the high- and low-risk group stratified by the optimal cutoff of risk score; (C) the distributions of survival status, risk score and expression profiles among patients in the training set. lncRNAs, long non-coding RNAs; GC, gastric cancer; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
Predictive performance of the 3-lncRNA model for GC

In the training set, we classified GC patients into high-risk and low-risk group using the optimal cutoff of risk scoring system. As can be seen from Figure 2B, patients in the high-risk group suffered remarkably poorer OS than those from the low-risk group, the 5-year OS was 12.8% and 51.0%, respectively. The area under curve (AUC) of time-dependent ROC, indicative of reliability, was 0.748 at 5-year (Figure 2A). When GC patients were sorted in ascending order of the risk score, the expression pattern of all three lncRNAs increased accordingly, and the mortality of patients in the high-risk group was much higher than those in the low-risk group (Figure 2C).

To determine the robustness of survival prediction in GC, 3-lncRNA model was validated in the test and entire set. Using the optimal cut off derived from the training set, GC patients in other two cohorts were also divided into high- and low-risk groups. In the testing set, patients in the high-risk group had notably OS than those in the low-risk group. The 5-year OS was 21.5% and 52.0% (Figure 3A), respectively. The 5-year AUC of time-dependent ROC was 0.622 (Figure 3B). The distributions of survival status, risk score and expression profiles among patients were described in the Figure 3C. Similar results of survival prediction and reliability were also observed in the entire set. The 5-year OS in the high- and low-risk group was 18.7% and 50.9% (Figure 4A), respectively. The 5-year AUC of time-dependent ROC was 0.712 (Figure 4B). When patients were sorted in ascending order of the risk score, we found the same trends of survival status and expression pattern in the testing (Figure 3C) and entire set (Figure 4C) as described in the training set.

The predictive power of the 3-lncRNA model independent of other clinical variables

The univariate Cox regression model indicated that the 3-lncRNA model was a poor prognostic factor for OS. To explore its predictive role independent of clinical variables, the 3-lncRNA model together with other clinical features were incorporated into the multivariate Cox regression analysis. As a result, the three-lncRNA model served as an independent poor prognostic indicator for OS (Table 2).

Identification of the 3-lncRNA related functions and pathways

In order to explore the potential mechanism of lncRNA promoting the occurrence and development of gastric cancer, we performed GSEA in high- and low-risk group of all GC patients using KEGG (C2) and Molecular function of GO (C5) signatures. In the high-risk group, eight GO terms were significantly clustered, but no KEGG pathways were identified (P<0.05). In the low risk group, ten KEGG pathways, mainly focusing on metabolism and apoptosis, and 22 GO terms were significantly enriched (P<0.05). Gene sets with P<0.01 were selected and presented as a multi-GSEA enrichment plot (Figure 5).

Discussion

GC remains a major public health burden worldwide especially in northeast Asian countries including China. Compared to colorectal cancers, GC patients underwent a poorer prognosis due to the high risk of relapse and metastasis. Thus, it is urgently recommended to identify a novel prognostic biomarker of GC. And the Combined gene signature may better the predictive efficacy than the single biomarker. Recently, gene signatures composed of several lncRNAs have gained more attention and play a pivotal role in prognosis prediction of malignancies (5-8).

Here, we constructed a novel 3-lncRNA (OVAAL, FLJ16779, FAM230D) prognosis predictive model for GC in the training set and then validated its accuracy and robustness in other two cohorts. Moreover, the 3-lncRNA model was an independent prognostic predictor for GC and patients in the high-risk group underwent poorer OS than those in the low-risk group. In general, the risk model composed of the 3 lncRNAs could be an effective predictor for GC prognosis and even improve the prognosis. The patients with high risk scores should be followed up more frequently for relapse and metastasis. In addition, GSEA revealed several gene sets from diverse molecular signatures respectively enriched in the high- or low-risk group, which might account for the underlying mechanism of the 3-lncRNA based signature. The Frizzled binding, one of enriched Gos, may induces the initiation and progression of GC via transmitting extracellular signals. Frizzled receptors belong to G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) which function in Wnt signaling. Thus, Frizzled receptors are essential for embryonic development, maintenance of stem cell self-renewal, and its aberrant expression correlates with multiple cancers (12,13).

Three lncRNAs indentified in our study have not been documented to be biomarkers with prognostic role in other malignancies. Ovarian Adenocarcinoma Amplified LncRNA
Figure 3 The predictive value of the 3-lncRNA signature among GC patients from the testing set. (A) Kaplan-Meier survival curve of GC patients in the high- and low-risk group stratified by the optimal cutoff from the training set; (B) time dependent ROC curve for accuracy of the predicting risk score system; (C) the distributions of survival status, risk score and expression profiles among patients in the testing set. lncRNAs, long non-coding RNAs; GC, gastric cancer; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.

(OVAAL), also known as OVAL or LINCO1131 (14), was first reported to confer apoptotic resistance in multiple cancer types (15). Compared to corresponding adjacent tissues, OVAAL expression was markedly up-regulated in colorectal cancer and melanoma. Functional and mechanistic investigations indicated that knockdown of OVAAL increased proliferation and inhibited apoptosis through physically interacting with serine/threonine-protein kinase 3 (STK3) and then activating the downstream RAF/MEK/ERK pathway. Similarly, OVAAL was also identified as an aberrantly high expressed and a prognosis related lncRNA in our study. Moreover, GC patients were classified into high- and low-expression group in accordance with the median value of OVAAL and then were subjected to the
GSEA. Elevation of OVAAL was associated with Dorsal-ventral axis formation and melanoma (Figure S2A), which might provide novel molecular mechanism of OVAAL in the pathogenesis and progression of GC.

Little information is available for FLJ16779 and FAM230D, which prompted us to evaluate the function and mechanism of these lncRNAs using GSEA. Surprisingly, a total of 11 and 54 gene sets were enriched in the high- and low-expression of FLJ16779 group (P<0.01) (data not shown). Activated gene sets were mainly clustered in tumor related pathways or carcinogenesis, such as Hedgehog signaling pathway, Calcium signaling pathway, Basal cell carcinoma and Melanogenesis. While repressed gene sets were primarily associated with metabolism including Carbohydrate, fat acid and amino acid, as well as several gene repair pathways (Figure S2B). In fact, reprogramming of energy metabolism has already been recognized as a hallmark of cancer (16), and lncRNAs play a crucial

Figure 4 The predictive value of the 3-lncRNA signature in all GC patients. (A) Kaplan–Meier survival curve of GC patients in the high- and low-risk group stratified by the optimal cutoff from the training set; (B) time dependent ROC curve for accuracy of the predicting risk score system; (C) the distributions of survival status, risk score and expression profiles in all GC patients. lncRNAs, long non-coding RNAs; GC, gastric cancer; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
### Table 2: Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors associated with overall and disease-free survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariate Cox</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate Cox</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;67 vs. ≥67 years)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>1.02 (1.00–1.04)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01–1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female vs. male)</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.78 (0.54–1.11)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (G1+G2 vs. G3)</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>1.33 (0.94–1.89)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage (I vs. II+III+IV)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.91 (1.32–2.75)</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>1.31 (0.76–2.26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T stage (T1+T2 vs. T3+T4)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.73 (1.13–2.65)</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>1.32 (0.79–2.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal metastasis (+ vs. −)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.94 (1.26–2.98)</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>1.48 (0.82–2.70)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis (+ vs. −)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.98 (1.09–3.59)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>1.87 (0.96–3.63)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-lncRNA signature (low vs. high)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.17 (1.51–3.12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.28 (1.55–3.37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5** Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) plots of gene expression profiles stratified by the optimal cutoff of the risk score. The curves upwards and downwards indicate the GO terms or KEGG pathways are activated in the high- and low-risk group, respectively.
role in the biological process (17). These results showed that the prognosis related FLJ16779 was implicated in gastric carcinogenesis and progression via modulating energy metabolism. In addition, FAM230D belongs to a family of long intergenic non-coding RNA genes, which locate in human chromosomal region 22q11.2 and carry a DNA translocation breakpoint/AT-rich sequence (18). Unfortunately, we failed to predict the potential role of FAM230D in gastric tumorigenesis whether utilizing GSEA or the method of co-expressing genes. Perhaps, FAM230D facilitates the progression of GC dependent on the other two functional lncRNAs.

Nevertheless, some limitations may exist in our study. First, there were several invalid data regarding the follow-up information in TCGA. Although patients with the follow-up time less than 1 month were removed, the 5-year OS of GC was 36.0%, slightly higher than 31.5% reported by the American Cancer Society (19). Second, the prognostic value of the three lncRNA signature should be verified in other internal cohorts despite cross-validation in our study.

Conclusions

In general, we established a novel three-lncRNA model by mining the TCGA dataset. The predictive module could not only function as a novel biomarker for the risk stratification of GC patients, but also shed light on how GC develops.
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Figure S1 Heatmap of the top 20 differentially expressed lncRNAs including OVAAL between GC and adjacent non-tumor tissues.
Figure S2 Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) plots of gene expression profiles stratified by the median expression value of OVAAL (A) and FLJ16779 (B). The curves upwards and downwards indicate that KEGG pathways are activated in the high- and low-risk group, respectively.