Introduction

Preoperative chemoradiation improved survival in patients with locally advanced esophageal cancer (EC) compared to surgical resection alone in the randomized CROSS trial (1). Initial EC staging consists of fusion positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) in combination with endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and CT of the chest, abdomen and pelvis. Following preoperative
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therapy, a repeat PET/CT provides quantifiable metrics for assessing residual or new disease, assessing both the size and radiotracer uptake of 18-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) measured against background activity, known as standard uptake value (SUV).

Case presentation

A 63-year-old Caucasian male presented to his primary care physician with a 2-month history of odynophagia and progressive dysphagia, but without weight-loss or loss of appetite. He consumed alcohol rarely and quit smoking for 10 years following a 20 pack-year history. Occupationally, he had exposure to uranium for 22 years while working at a nuclear power facility. After upper endoscopy, he was found to have a friable mass 38–41 cm from the incisors, approaching the gastroesophageal junction. Biopsy returned adenocarcinoma. EUS showed tumor extension beyond the muscularis propria as well as an enlarged para-esophageal lymph node, staged T3N1.

Following referral to our tertiary cancer treatment center, an initial PET/CT (Figure 1A,B) illustrated long-segment distal esophageal thickening with hypermetabolism consistent with the primary cancer, as well as portocaval lymphadenopathy that was felt to be metastatic based on size and FDG-uptake. No metastasis was noted in the liver or elsewhere. Only patients who had T1N1 or T2-3N0-1 were included on the CROSS trial so the tumor board recommendation for this patient with M1 disease (clinical stage IV) was systemic chemotherapy followed by consideration of local therapies pending response.

After 5 doses of induction FOLFOX chemotherapy, the patient reported some improvement of his dysphagia post treatment, with mild epigastric discomfort. A post-chemotherapy PET/CT showed a >35% reduction in SUV max, suggesting that the patient would benefit from continuation of the regimen during local irradiation (2). He received 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin concurrently with intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) to all initially involved sites. Based on our institutional experience, we focally escalated the dose to the gross tumor to 56 Gy (3).

Post chemoradiation at 3.5 weeks, a repeat PET/CT (Figure 2A,B) showed a reduction in the length and FDG-uptake of the esophageal primary, as well as normalization of the previously hypermetabolic lymphadenopathy. The imaging timing was chosen to allow for the optimal operative window for maximum benefit, which is generally accepted as 5–8 weeks. Of note, there were several new mass-like foci of hypermetabolism in the medial and lateral left hepatic lobes, but without a correlating CT abnormality.

As the possibility of new metastatic disease would greatly impact the current treatment plan, a multidisciplinary review felt an image-guided liver biopsy would ultimately be needed, given the potential for false negative advanced imaging such as multi-phasic CT or MRI. Neither ultrasound nor contrast-enhanced CT could identify any lesions, so the region of greatest FDG-uptake was targeted based on anatomic landmarks. Biopsy revealed no neoplasm but mild reactive inflammation (Figure 3). Liver function tests had remained stable throughout the pre-operative course. In light of the neoadjuvant treatment response, the patient underwent surgical resection with curative intent.

Figure 1 PET/CT sagittal (A) and axial (B) of esophageal carcinoma. (A) Initial PET/CT with long-segment distal esophageal thickening (max SUV 18.3); (B) FDG-avid regional lymphadenopathy (partially-displayed), largest portocaval (max SUV 4.3). Note normal background liver activity. PET/CT, positron emission tomography/computed tomography; SUV, standard uptake value.
Robotic-assisted laparoscopic Ivor-Lewis esophagectomy was performed, with initial visual inspection of the liver finding two areas of moderate suspicion. Wedge biopsies yielded negative findings prior to proceeding. Portocaval and hepatic artery nodes were also resected, with placement of a feeding tube. The tumor measured 3.7 cm × 3.4 cm × 3.4 cm with pleural involvement and 1 of 39 positive nodes. Final histologic grading was a pT4aN1 adenosquamous carcinoma (Figure 4). Based on the College of American Pathology system, the Tumor Regression Grade (TRG)
was designated as a 3, indicating poor or no response with extensive residual cancer with no evident tumor regression. There was also lymphovascular and perineural invasion.

His post-operative course was predominantly uneventful apart from a mild diastolic dysfunction corrected with diuretics. A routine fluoroscopic assessment confirmed anastomotic integrity and normal emptying of the gastric pull-through. The patient was discharged on post-operative day 7 on a clear liquid diet and a tube feeding regimen. By the 2-week post-operative clinic visit, the patient was tolerating most solid foods and was able to have the jejunostomy removed. To date, he was found to be without residual or recurrent disease by either imaging or serum tumor markers. Given his final stage, future surveillance will consist of 6-month interval for the initial two years, then annually to follow.

**Discussion**

With more active systemic therapies in esophageal cancer (EC), response assessment has become increasingly important, with changes in metabolic activity correlating with tumor response and prognosis (4). For stage IV patients, recent data supports local therapies in responders, with up to 20% 5-year survival (5). In this case, the patient was deemed to have metabolic evidence of non-regional adenopathy by consensus multidisciplinary opinion since biopsy was not feasible in this location. The reduction in both tumor volume and max SUV on PET/CT serial imaging pre and post chemotherapy indicated that his disease responded significantly, without progression, suggesting he would benefit from local therapy.

The education value of this case lies in the diagnostic pitfall of post-treatment imaging at early post radiation time points. The repeat PET/CT at conclusion of all neoadjuvant therapy was performed 3.5 weeks after the completion of radiotherapy. When the external radiation planning images are registered to the initial and repeat PET/CT exams (*Figure 5*) it becomes clear that the areas of liver parenchyma receiving the highest dose correlate with

---

*Figure 4* Poorly-differentiated esophageal adenosquamous carcinoma. Tumor composed of solid nests and glandular architecture, involving esophageal muscular wall (A and B, H&E sections, 20x and 10x, respectively). Note concentric perineural invasion (arrows in B). Immunohistochemical staining showed tumor cells are labeled by squamous markers P40 and CK5/6 (approximately 40% of cells; C and D, both 20x, respectively). Final pathologic staging pT4aN1.
the foci of FDG-uptake.

Imaging PET/CT false positives specific to radiation-induced liver injury in the setting of esophageal carcinoma have been reported (6-8). The causes are multifactorial and include: atypical infections (9), drug-reactions (10), co-existing neoplasms (11), immunotherapy (12), as well as proliferative connective tissue disorders (13). Given the breadth of potential etiologies and the importance of accurate staging, biopsy still holds great clinical value with an acceptable risk-benefit ratio when patient management would change. With an expanding role for consolidation EC radiation in the upper abdomen, knowledge of the potential metabolic benign changes within the imaged radiation field is increasingly important.

Conclusions
PET/CT remains a valuable tool in both quantifying and following disease during staging, treatment, and surveillance phases of therapy. Clinical context, however, is critical to the evaluation of patients who have recently completed radiation therapy. Improving collaboration between diagnostic radiology and radiation oncology will help prospectively identify such instances by cross-referencing treatment planning data with any areas of unexplained imaging findings leading to improved multidisciplinary coordination of care.
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